[15th November 1922

Mr. A. RANGANATHA MUDALIYAR:—"In the second part, the Government say that they have no information that any of them are comprised of members of a single community."

The hon. Mr. K. Srinivasa Avvangar: - "I have nothing more to say."

Vaccination.

- 492 Q.—Mr. K. SARABHA REDDI: Will the hon, the Minister for Local Self-Government be pleased—
- (1) to give statistics relating to the number of cases vaccinated and the number of such vaccinations that proved successful in the year ending with March 1922;

(2) to explain the causes that rendered vaccination unsuccessful;

(3) to give with the help of statistics for the last five years the total number of cases of vaccination in the Kurnool district and the percentage of increase from year to year or decrease with reasons for the latter condition;

(4) to furnish statistics to prove that in areas where vaccination has been thoroughly successful smallpox has been sufficiently combated; and

- (5) to state whether it is a fact that the serum supplied for vaccination has not been good and that therefore vaccination has proved unsuccessful in a large number of cases?
 - A.—The hon. Member is referred to the vaccination report for 1921-22, which will be issued shortly.

Deputy Inspectors of Vaccination.

- 493 Q.—Mr. M. R. Seturatnam Ayvar: Will the hon. the Minister for Local Self-Government be pleased to state—
- (1) whether it is a fact that the Sanitary Commissioner, Madras, issued orders during 1906 and 1907 to all applicants for the post of deputy inspector of vaccination to the effect that they should work as vaccinators before being appointed as deputy inspectors of vaccination; and whether G.O. No. 963 L., dated 4th July 1917, lays down that all sanitary inspectors, before being appointed as deputy inspectors of vaccination, should work as first-class vaccinators at least for one year under a taluk board;
- (2) why the Director of Pablic Health did not carry out the above orders when he made appointments in the recent Health Scheme introduced in some of the districts;
- (3) whether it is a fact that the claims of qualified sanitary inspectors who worked as first-class vaccinators under various taluk boards in consonance with the above order and Government Order were not favourably considered, whereas temporary men disbanded from cholera parties and with no practical training as first-class vaccinators were posted to sit in judgment over the better qualified permanent first-class vaccinators;
- (4) whether it is a fact that the existing first-class vaccinators submitted memorials to the Director of Public Health, Madras, during August 1922; if so, what action has been taken by the Director of Public Health on these memorials; and
- (5) the number of qualified sanitary inspectors who joined as firstclass vaccinators under various taluk boards and who left service and took up work as sanitary inspectors in cholera parties and municipalities?